**REVIEW REPORT**

**Sprint 2, 08/11/2024 – 14/11/2024**

**Group ID:** **8**

**Project name**: T-2Hand

**Prepared by:** **Trần Đan Huy**

**Present members:**

22127377 – **Lâm Sỹ Tân** *Leader*   
22127163 – **Trần Đan Huy**  
22127444 – **Trần Thị Cát Tường**  
22127026 – **Ôn Gia Bảo**

22127074 – **Võ Hoàng Đức**

* All members attended.
* **Meeting date:** 15/11/2024- 9:00 PM

# WORK REVIEW

| **Item** | **Description** | **Responsibility** | **Due date** | **Completion level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | Revised project plan | Lâm Sỹ Tân | 9:00 PM – 12/11/2024 | 100% |
| 2 | Detailed vision document | Trần Đan Huy | 9:00 PM – 12/11/2024 | 100% |
| 3 | Create use-case model | Ôn Gia Bảo | 9:00 PM – 12/11/2024 | 100% |
| 4 | Create use-case specification(name, basic flow, alternative flows) | Trần Thị Cát Tường | 9:00 PM – 12/11/2024 | 100% |
| 5 | Create use-case specification(pre-conditions, and post-conditions) | Võ Hoàng Đức | 9:00 PM – 12/11/2024 | 100% |
| 6 | Report | Trần Đan Huy | 12:00 PM – 13/11/2024 | 100% |

**2. FULL EVALUATION**

1. **What went well ?**

* Team members became proficient in using Jira, allowing tasks to be well-organized and managed.
* Additional document training sessions helped members improve their understanding of formatting, grammar, and word usage.
* Revisions to the vision document were well-executed, requiring minimal changes.
* All members completed tasks effectively and met assigned deadlines.
* The team worked actively and was highly productive in completing tasks.
* Team members interacted well, supported each other, and helped solve arising issues to ensure the work was completed.

1. **What went wrong ?**

* Progress was initially slow due to a heavy load of tests from other courses
* Difficulties in identifying and modeling use cases

1. **What problems and what caused the problem ?**

* Due to receiving feedback quite close to the PA2 submission date, the time for revising the Vision
* Some Use case Specifications are not very clear and need rewording.
* Lack of understanding of project estimation in the software development plan led to not fully meeting the requirements that the document needed.

1. **What can be done differently in the next sprint to improve the project ?**

* The time should be more efficiently calculated given the workload problems between the courses of this semester.
* Enhance communication and feedback on ideas from team members in the project.
* Provide additional training sessions or instructional materials on tools and development processes.
* Regularly assess progress and adjust the plan as needed to ensure the next Sprint runs smoothly and more effectively.

1. **What lesson could we learn ?**

* As we are heading towards the later part of our semester, the workload and deadline keeps growing, so we have to maintain a disciplined pace for this project to succeed.
* Again, everything must be talked through within the group

# SUMMARY

Since Sprint 2 was carried out during the midterm exam week, most tasks were completed close to the submission deadline. Additionally, receiving feedback for revisions near the PA2 submission time made it difficult to update the documents. However, all the members were fully on track with the Use Case Diagrams and Specifications, and in the end, everything was completed successfully and on schedule.